Empirical Validation of Reliable Requirement Specification Framework
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Abstract:
In the view of changing technologies in requirement engineering the RRS framework is being introduced [1] for early stage requirement defect identification [2] and mitigation [3] during software development process to make requirement specification reliable. With the use of RRS framework at early stage of SDLC, requirement defect identification and their proper mitigation has become cheaper than to later stages. The principal purpose of this framework is to filter out requirements stage defects from entering into later stages of SDLC. In this paper, it is being tried to show the experimental design for validating RRS Framework and to give comparative analysis with petri net tool [9] which is meant for later stages of software development process. Under RRS framework two major techniques have been introduced, one for requirement defect identification [2] and other for requirement defect mitigation [3] which now being tried to validate through empirical design under pre-tryout, tryout and statistical analysis with hypothesis methodology.
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INTRODUCTION

Verification and validation are the major factors for the evaluation of any research work. With the help of implementation process the research work use to verify and after that verified research work has to be validated with the help of any existing or counterpart model or framework which deals with similar problem at any stage of development process may be taken for statistical analysis.

RRS framework is well designed [1] and implemented [2 and 3] to deliver almost defect free requirement in the form of reliable requirement specification document. The unmatched requirements become the software defects at later stages of software development process causes to software failure or direct impact on software reliability [4]. So the requirements must take care from the initial stage of software development process. The better treatment of requirement defect [5] at its initial phase became the essential need of software development to facilitate the software industries. Therefore, to identify causes of software defect and its respective preventive measures has become the essential criterion [6, 7 and 8] at each level of software development so that software reliability can be increase. RRS framework is conceptually as well practically helpful to handle all above mentioned problem at its level best.
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1. THE RRS FRAMEWORK

Highly Reliable and complete requirement delivery for developing software became the most and first requisite in Software Development Process. Initial requirement defect identification and their mitigation is the key aspect in planning the development of the potential software.

In this research work it is being tried to identify potential requirement defect through defect identification technique under Defect Data Dictionary (D3) and setting defect severity as well their priority to mitigate. Here, a Framework for Reliable Requirement Specification (RRS) [1] is being introduced containing three major components 1) Input component in the form of Initial Requirement 2) Free Wheel Processing Assembly as the combination of Defect Identification Technique (D3) [2], Requirement Defect, Severity & priority and Defect Mitigation Technique (DMT) [3] 3) Output component in the form of Reliable Requirement Specification.

2. VALIDATION OF THE FRAMEWORK

In this paper, it is being tried to perform empirical validation with the help of complementary model called Petri Net for comparing the RRS framework. The RRS framework is meant for identifying and mitigating the requirement defect at the early phase or requirement phase of SDLC with its reliability assessment where the Petri Net is meant for identifying and resolving the defects at the later stage (coding and in further phases) of SDLC with the assessment of software reliability.

The validation part consists three major sections first is Pre-tryout: in which a small segment of requirement document is being taken to identify and mitigate the requirement defect with the help of both framework / tool and then after assess the reliability separately and compare it. Second is Tryout: in which a large requirement data of Electronic Fund Transfer (EFT) module for a financial sector is being taken to identify and mitigate the requirement defect with the help of both framework / tool and then after assess the reliability separately. Third is statistical analysis: in which the statistical analysis about both the framework / tool is executed and tried to establish and prove one of the hypothesis to better evaluate the researched framework.

2.1 Pre-Tryout

RRS framework prioritely verified through implementation for showing its proper working under defect identification technique (D3) and DMT techniques. Now, a sample requirement data of faculty management system is taken for showing its working from initial requirement to reliability assessment (as per framework working style [1, 2 and 3]) which will further compare with its counterpart model Petri Nets for validation under pre-tryout process. Petri Nets are found to be powerful in modeling performance and dependability of computer and communications systems. Formally, a Petri net has 5 tuple [9].

$$PN = (P, T, A, M, \mu_0)$$ where

- $P$ is a finite of places (circle representation)
- $T$ is a finite set of transitions (bar representation)
- $A$ is a set of arcs connecting.
- $M$ is a multiplicity associated with the arcs in $A$
- $\mu_0$ is the marking that denotes the number of tokens for each place

The Generalized Stochastic Petri Nets model for quantitative reliability prediction for process oriented development is depicted in Fig. 1.0 which represents generalized stochastic Petri nets model [10] contains places, transitions and tokens for assessing the software at its coding phase of SDLC. Through this model the requirements of faculty management system may be assessed but at its coding phase which comes further with respect to requirement phase of software development process. This is also helpful in estimating the reliability of software through defect management. The working of Petri Nets may notice...
In this section, the similar processing of validation has been followed apart from its statistical analysis. Here, a large module EFT from financial management project is taken for further assessment of the RRS framework with respect to Petri Net model. The module has a certain number of requirements (Table 3 and Table 4) containing some of the requirement defects. These requirement defects will be entertain by both the model of Petri Nets [10] and RRS framework for removing it properly and further assessing the reliability.

It may be observed (Table 3) that the final outcome from the Petri Net model in the form of requirement defect is 17 which represents the reliability of requirement as 92.0561. Whereas, the final outcome of RRS framework (Table 4) with the same data is 92.9907 as reliability of requirement containing 15 lowest severe defects which is more than that of Petri Net’s assessment. Therefore it may conclude that RRS framework performs better at the early stage of requirement defect identification and mitigation which may also be examine through statistical analysis. The tryout validation does not reflect that Petri Net is not capable to handle the defect, but it shows that RRS framework is significantly more competent to handle requirement defect at its early stage of SDLC with respect to Petri Net.

3. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The statistical analysis use to start with analysis plan which describes how to use sample data to accept or reject the null hypothesis. Under this analysis plan two major things should specify, one is Significance Level: frequently, the most of the researchers use to choose significance level equal to 0.01, 0.05, or 0.10, but any value persists between 0 and 1 can be taken and here for this research validation 0.10 is being taken as significance level; second is Test Method: any statistical method may choose for assessing the
hypothesis and here *chi-square test for independence* is being taken to determine whether there is a significant relationship between two elaborated frameworks. The state of hypothesis is as follows:-

**H\(_0\)** (null hypothesis): The RRS framework is more reliable and valid in context with Petri net tool for assessing the reliability of software requirement at the early stage or requirement phase of SDLC.

**H\(_a\)** (alternative hypothesis): The RRS framework is less reliable and valid in context with Petri net tool for assessing the reliability of software requirement at the early stage or requirement phase of SDLC.

After being stated the two hypothesis and the chi-square test method there is a need of sample data as mentioned above in Table 3 and Table 4, along with this sample data some of the key parameters have to find out such as degree of freedom, expected frequencies and test statistic associated with the test statistic.

**Degrees Of Freedom:**

\[
DF = (r - 1) \times (c - 1)
\]

Where, \(r\) is number of rows and \(c\) is number of columns used in sample data table (Table 5).

**Expected frequencies:** The expected frequency value counts are computed separately for each framework. Compute \(r \times c\) expected frequencies, according to the following formula.

\[E_{r,c} = \frac{(n_r \times n_c)}{n}\]

where \(E_{r,c}\) is the expected frequency value count for level \(r\) of one framework and level \(c\) of another framework, \(n_r\) is the total number of sample observations at level \(r\) of one framework, \(n_c\) is the total number of sample observations at level \(c\) of another framework, and \(n\) is the total sample size.

**Test Statistic:** Since the statistical method chi-square test is taken for assessing the above mentioned hypothesis so the chi-square (\(X^2\)) will be defined as:-

\[X^2 = \sum \frac{(O_{r,c} - E_{r,c})^2}{E_{r,c}}\]

where \(O_{r,c}\) is the observed frequency count at level \(r\) of one framework and level \(c\) of another framework, and \(E_{r,c}\) is the expected frequency count at level \(r\) of one framework and level \(c\) of another framework.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Framework</th>
<th>Total No. of Requirement</th>
<th>Total No. of Requirement Defect</th>
<th>Reliability of Requirement</th>
<th>Row Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RRS</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>92.9907</td>
<td>321.9907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petri Net</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>92.0561</td>
<td>323.0561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column Total</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>185.0468</td>
<td>645.0468</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis:-

Degree of Freedom,

\[DF = (r - 1) \times (c - 1) = (2-1) \times (3-1) = 2\]

Expected frequencies,

\[E_{1,1} = \frac{(321.9907 \times 428)}{645.0468} = 213.6465\]
\[E_{1,2} = \frac{(321.9907 \times 32)}{645.0468} = 15.9736\]
\[E_{1,3} = \frac{(321.9907 \times 185.0468)}{645.0468} = 92.3706\]
\[E_{2,1} = \frac{(323.0561 \times 428)}{645.0468} = 214.3535\]
\[E_{2,2} = \frac{(323.0561 \times 32)}{645.0468} = 16.0264\]
\[E_{2,3} = \frac{(323.0561 \times 185.0468)}{645.0468} = 92.6762\]

Chi-square,

\[X^2 = \sum \frac{(O_{r,c} - E_{r,c})^2}{E_{r,c}}\]

\[X^2 = \frac{(214 - 213.6465)^2}{213.6465} + \frac{(15 - 15.9736)^2}{15.9736} + \frac{(92.9907 - 92.3706)^2}{92.3706} + \frac{(214 - 214.3535)^2}{214.3535} + \frac{(17 - 16.0264)^2}{16.0264} + \frac{(92.0561 - 92.6762)^2}{92.6762}\]

\[X^2 = 0.1281\]

So, it may say that observed chi-square value (\(X^2\)) is **0.1281**

Since for this research validation 90% level of confidence is taken, which means alpha (significance level) = 0.10

With the use of standard table critical value of chi-square for the problem's degree of freedom (\(DF = 2\)) and significance level (0.10), can be taken to compare the observed chi-square value as mentioned below:-

- If observed chi-square < critical chi-square, then frameworks are not related (\(H_0\) is true)
• If observed chi-square > critical chi-square, then frameworks are not independent (and hence may be related) ($H_0$ is false).
• The observed chi-square value is 0.1281 where critical value of chi-square is 4.605

So, it may say that first axiom is acceptable as the value of observed chi-square (0.1281) is less than the critical value of chi-square (4.605). Therefore, the null hypothesis is acceptable and so forth the RRS framework is more reliable and valid in context with Petri net tool for assessing the reliability of software requirement at the early stage or requirement phase of SDLC.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the hypothesis methodology with statistical analysis is used for the proper validation of RRS framework. In which a specimen requirement of faculty management system is taken for analyzing the working of defect identification technique, assigning defect weightage through severity and priority, defect mitigation technique and reliability assessment through different metrics under pre-tryout process. Secondly, a large requirement data of Electronic Fund Transfer (EFT) is taken for analyzing same working of RRS Framework and compare with the working of Petri net tool. Under statistical analysis the hypothesis methodology is used with the help of chi-square test procedure. The validation process of RRS framework is reflecting positive and enhanced resultant in comparison with Petri Net which justifies the better performance of the newly developed framework. So, the comparative analysis of RRS framework and Petri Net tool, used in later stage of SDLC, signifies that RRS framework is applicable to make the requirement specification reliable at early stage.
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